# Exhibit E

```
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
  1
        COUNTY OF NEW YORK - CIVIL TERM - PART 2
  2
        JOHN ALEXANDER,
  3
                           Plaintiff,
                     -against-
                                                    INDEX 117395/06
 5
       AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC.,
         n/k/a RHONE POULENC AG COMPANY,
 б
         n/k/a BAYER CROPSCIENCE, INC.,
 7
       AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR CO., INC.,
       ANCHOR PACKING COMPANY,
       BORG-WARNER CORPORATION,
 8
         n/k/a BURNS INTERNATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION,
       CERTAIN TEED CORPORATION,
       DAIMLER CHRYSLER CORPORATION,
10
       EIS BRAKE PARTS DIVISION.
       FORD MOTOR COMPANY,
       GARLOCK SEALING TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,
11
         f/k/a GARLOCK, INC.,
      GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,
       GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION,
       HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC.,
         f/k/a ALLIED SIGNAL, INC./BENDIX,
       INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY,
       INTERNATIONAL TRUCK and ENGINE CORPORATION,
15
       J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY,
       MACK TRUCKS, INC.,
16
     NISSAN NORTH AMERICA, INC.;
       PACCAR, INC.,
17
         Individually and through its division,
         PETERBILT MOTORS CO.,
18
       PNEUMO ABEX CORPORATION,
         Individually and as successor in interest to
19
         ABEX CORPORATION,
       RAPID-AMERICAN CORPORATION.
20
       STANDARD MOTOR PRODUCTS, INC.,
       TOYOTA MOTOR CORPORATION,
21
       U.S. RUBBER COMPANY (UNIROYAL),
       UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION,
       AMERICN HONDA MOTOR CO., INC.,
       HONDA MOTOR CO., LTD,
       HONDA MOTOR PARTS SERVICE CO., LTD.,
       HONDA MOTOR PARTS SERVICE CO., LTD.,
```

DEBORAH A. ROTHROCK, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

```
MAZDA MOTOR CORP.,
       MAZDA MOTOR CORP.,
       VOLKSWAGON A.G.,
       VOLKSWAGON OF AMERICA, INC.,
 3
       VOLKSWAGON,
          as successor in interest to AUDI,
                           Defendants.
 7
       MOSES SHERMAN and LEOLA SHERMAN,
                                 PLAINTIFFS
 8
                     -AGAINST-
 9
                                                     INDEX 116617/06
       A.J. PEGNO CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION,
       ALLEN BRADLEY, INC.
10
       AMERICAN STANDARD, INC.
       ASBEKA INDUSTRIES OF NY, INC.
11
       ATLANTIC DETROIT DIESEL ALLISON,
       BRIDGESTONE/FIRESTONE, INC.,
       CARRIER CORPORATION,
13
      as successor in interest to BRYANT HEATING &
         COOLING SYSTEMS,
      CBS CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation,
14
         f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger to CBS
       CORPORATION, a Pennsylvania Corporation,
15
         f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION,
       CERTAIN TEED CORPORATION,
1.6
       DAIMLER CHRYSLER CORPORATION,
17
       DARCOID COMPANY, INC.,
       DODGE CORPORATION,
18
       FISCHBACH AND MOORE,
       FORD MOTOR COMPANY,
19
       GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,
       GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION,
20
       GEROSA INCORPORATED,
       H. VERBY & CO., INC.,
21
       HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC.,
       HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC.,
         f/k/a ALLIED SIGNAL, INC./BENDIX,
22
       JOHN SORE, INC.,
23
24
```

 $(\dot{\phantom{a}})$ 

		1
r".	1.	WARNAY CORDORANTON
( )	2	KARNAK CORPORATION, KOPPERS COMPANY,
	**	KORODY-COLYER CORPORATION,
	3	MACK TRUCKS, INC.,
•		MOTION CONTROL INDUSTRIES, INC.,
	4	as predecessor in interest to CARLISLE CORPORATION, NAVISTAR INTERNATIONAL TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION,
	5	f/k/a INTERNATIONAL HARVESTER COMPANY,
	•	NORTHROP-GRUMANN CORPORATION,
	6	PNEUMO ABEX CORPORATION,
		Individually and as successor in interest to ABEX
	7	CORPORATION,
		QUIGLEY COMPANY, INC.,
	8	RAYBESTOS MANHATTAN, INC.,
•		ROCKBESTOS, INC.,
	9	ROSTONE CORPORATION,
	4.0	TEVAL CORPORATION,
	10	f/k/a CHARLES F. GUYON COMPANY,
	11	THE BUDD COMPANY, THE FLXIBLE CORPORATION,
		THE TRANE COMPANY,
	12	U.S. RUBBER COMPANY (UNIROYAL),
		VELLUMOID, INC.,
<b>(</b>	13	GARLOCK SEALING TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,
Section 1	1	f/k/a GARLOCK INC.,
	14	INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY
	Į	UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION,
	15	
	(	Defendants.
	16	
	17	Proceedings
	т,	80 Centre Street
	18	New York, New York
		June 19, 2007
	19	5 Caro 127 2001
	ļ	BEFORE:
	20	
		,
	21	HONORABLE LOUIS B. YORK,
•		Justice.
	22	
•		APPEARANCES:
	23	
Ì		WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
	24	180 Maiden Lane
	25	New York, New York 10038 BY: ADAM R. COOPER, ESQ.
	47	Attorney for Plaintiff
	ļ	

1 2 3 (APPEARANCES CONTINUED.) 4 5 LYNCH, DASKAL, EMERY, LLP 6 264 West 40th Street New York, New York 10018 7 BY: JAMES R. LYNCH, ESQ. and LAWRENCE G. LEE, ESQ. 8 Attorneys for Defendant - Daimler Chrysler AARONSON, RAPPAPORT, FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP 9 757 Third Avenue 10 New York, New York 10017 BY: ROBERT S. DEUTSCH, ESQ. 11 and PHILIP D. LERNER, ESQ. Attorneys for Defendant - Ford Motor Company 12 and General Motors Corporation HERZFELD & RUBIN, P.C. 13 40 Wall Street 14 New York, New York 10005 BY: JOSEPH A. CHURGIN, ESQ. 15 Attorneys for Defendant - Volkswagon 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 DEBORAH ANN ROTHROCK, R.P.R. Official Court Reporter 23 24 25

### -Proceedings-

THE COURT: What does this case entail? MR. COOPER: We have two cases which I don't believe have been consolidated yet. I don't know if I need to make a formal motion or the Court could do it sua

The two cases are John Alexander and Moses Both these gentleman are living with a diagnosis of lung cancer and both, to my knowledge, only asbestos exposure is from friction products.

sponte, that is certainly an administrative issue.

THE COURT: Okav.

Who are the Defendants? I don't have a list.

MR. COOPER: As of this morning I have a scratch lift to put on the record. It is somewhat short.

For Mr. John Alexander, the main Defendants are Bendix, AMCHEM, Chrysler, Ford, GM, International Truck, Union Carbide and Volkswagon.

For Moses Sherman, Bendix, AMCHEM, Chrysler, Ford, GM, and Union Carbide.

THE COURT: Bendix is only a Defendant in one -no, Bendix is a Defendant in both cases, AMCHEM is a Defendant in both cases, Chrysler is a Defendant in both cases, GM is a Defendant in both cases and Union Carbide is a Defendant in both cases.

MR. COOPER: Ford.

THE COURT: Did I skip Ford?

DAR

i

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

٠9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# -Proceedings-MR. COOPER: Yes. THE COURT: They are in both cases and Union Carbide. MR. COOPER: Yes. THE COURT: The only additions are International Truck and Volkswagen that are only in one of the cases? MR. COOPER: Correct. THE COURT: Okay. Now, you have not made a motion for joint trials? MR. COOPER: We have not. THE COURT: What are you waiting for? MR. COOPER: That is a good question, your Honor. THE COURT: Why wait for this meeting? You are only going to delay the trial. MR. COOPER: Like I just noted on the record and from what I have been told, it is possible to do it sua sponte, without motion practice. THE COURT: Who told you that?

MR. COOPER: My office.

And that has been done before, not necessarily in this particular part, but to the extent there's no opposition.

If there's opposition obviously that can't be

	· ·
	-Proceedings-
1	done
2	MR. LYNCH: There is opposition.
3	MR. COOPER: Well, much to my surprise.
4	We will have a motion for joint trial.
5	THE COURT: Motion for joint trial.
6	How long will it take you?
7	Could you do it in ten days?
8	MR. COOPER: Certainly.
9	THE COURT: Ten days from today the 29th.
1.0	Okay.
11	And answering papers, gentleman?
12	MR. DEUTSCH: Two weeks.
13	THE COURT: I'm giving him ten days.
14	MR. DEUTSCH: I am supposed to get 3020 days
1.5	notice, 25 days notice for a motion by mail.
16	THE COURT: For the motion?
L7	MR. DEUTSCH: For the motion, yes, that is my
18	entitlement.
L9	THE COURT: No, it is not.
20	MR. DEUTSCH: Sure it is.
21	THE COURT: You are supposed to get eight days
22	notice with an additional five days if it is by mail, that
23	is 13.
11	

MR. DEUTSCH: I don't believe that is accurate.

THE COURT: Sure it is accurate.

DAR

	1	۱.
	2	ا ا
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	-
	8	-
	9	
•	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
•	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
•	21	
	22	
	23	

25

MR. DEUTSCH: You are the Judge, you make your rulings.

THE COURT: I think it is excessive.

MR. DEUTSCH: How do you think it excessive?

THE COURT: How are you going to serve?

MR. COOPER: We'll do it by hand.

THE COURT: I will give you ten days. So ten days.

MR. CHURGIN: Your Honor, there are two
Defendants that are not in the other two cases and I have
no information about the cases.

THE COURT: I don't understand your point.

MR. CHURGIN: My point is, I am going to need time.

I don't think ten days is sufficient time to oppose it. I am not in one case. I know nothing about the case. It is a motion for a joint trial.

The other Defendants are in a different position than I am.

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, the motion itself has more than enough information contained in the body to do the opposition.

THE COURT: I am not going to grant the motion if he doesn't have enough facts in there to grant it.

So June 29th -- July 10th would be the due date

for the answering papers.

MR. LYNCH: Before we get into scheduling, could I raise a preliminary issue?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. LYNCH: Judge, James Lynch representing Chrysler.

Your Honor, my client, based on the ruling and the actions, in the Colella and D'Ulisse trials believes that it did not get a fair trial in those trials and believes it will not get a fair trial if the case or cases are tried before your Honor.

We believe your Honor's rulings and actions displayed a bias and prejudice against Chrysler.

We will be filing a formal motion on notice to recuse yourself, your Honor.

I would ask your Honor to consider now voluntarily recusing yourself before we get into the substance of the cases, otherwise we will make the motion on notice.

MR. DEUTSCH: Robert Deutsch, for the record, representing Ford and General Motors, we will be joining in that motion.

THE COURT: Did I hold anybody in contempt in that trial?

MR. LYNCH: I don't believe there was any

DAR

contempt.

THE COURT: Were there any sanctions?

MR. LYNCH: Well, there was against Mr. Hill --

THE COURT: But it was withdrawn.

MR. LYNCH: Yes. Yes, it was withdrawn.

THE COURT: Make a formal motion.

Based on what you told me now I'm going to deny the motion. I will read your papers and see where we go from there.

What I think we need to do is not wait until that motion is decided. We have to go forward.

If I grant your motion then all of this will be moot.

MR. LYNCH: Perhaps we can agree on a briefing schedule.

THE COURT: Agree on a briefing schedule?

MR. LYNCH: I think we can file the brief within

a week.

THE COURT: All right.

Motion to disqualify me will be filed in a week.

So that would be Tuesday, June 26th.

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, at the risk of soundings hippacritical, I think we may need more than seven days to oppose the motion.

This is not an issue as Mr. Churgin has raised a

DAR

1.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

.12

13

14

16

15

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

11

pro forma issue.

THE COURT: This is an issue involving what, a 10,000 page transcript?

MR. COOPER: I am unable to compile a motion and potentially a multi-page motion based on Chrysler's prior submissions.

Obviously I cannot say what I am about to get, but I certainly can say, based on history I am going to need more than seven days to respond.

THE COURT: Well, I will tell you what. Let's assume that it is two weeks, which would be answering papers July 26th -- June 26th, that would be July 10th, right?

MR. DEUTSCH: Yes.

MR. COOPER: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LYNCH: May we have an opportunity to reply papers?

MR. DEUTSCH: A surreply or reply?

MR. LYNCH: A reply.

THE COURT: Not a surreply, a reply.

MR. DEUTSCH: Opposition reply, I'm sorry,

right.

MR. LYNCH: Perhaps week after that?

THE COURT: Reply July 17th.

DAR

. )

, 14

16

15

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17 18

19

20

21.

22

23

24

. 25

أر

12

Okay.

Now, motions in limine?

MR. COOPER: Your Honor, if I could just make an application in that regard.

Last Fall you had ordered that each party be limited to four motions in limine in writing with an unlimited amount being able to be made orally.

I would make the same application.

THE COURT: I did not make that ruling.

I am pretty sure I did not make the ruling. Some other Judge must have made that ruling. It sounds like good ruling.

MR. COOPER: It is a major coincidence each of us had four motions in writing and the rest were done orally.

THE COURT: You assumed. I didn't even know that. I guess you assumed that is what I did, but I didn't.

MR. COOPER: It is my recollection that is what occurred.

THE COURT: Every Defendant has four motions in limine.

MR. DEUTSCH: Four written or issues?

THE COURT: I don't think you necessarily need to separate them into four separate motions. You can

DAR

8 9

10

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

13

raise four issues in one motion. You could do it for both -- well, let's assume-- we will have to assume that there's going to be a consolidation -- consolidation in the sense of having joint trials.

At least for the purposes of making the motions in limine, I will make it easy for you, you can do all four motions and refer to both cases, just make sure you say that in the motion papers.

MR. LYNCH: Your Honor, will these be motions in limine directed to jury selection evidence?

THE COURT: Well, motions addressed to jury selection are not motions in limine.

Motions in limine have to do with limitation of evidence.

But since you are going to make motions with regard to the jury selection, I will accept them, as I have done in the past.

However, I would prefer not to have generalized motions that really don't apply -- don't point to the facts in the case.

Motions like no one shall submit -- the words are escaping me -- you know.

Okay.

Let me put it this way, no one shall submit motions that are inflammatory.

8

1

2

3

5

6

7

10 11

1.2 1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

### -Proceedings-

That is not a motion in limine.

That is a just a generalized statement and that doesn't tell me anything.

The other one that keeps popping up is, you know, when you submit a number of witnesses on one issue. What do we call that?

MR. COOPER: Cumulative.

THE COURT: Cumulative. I could not think of the word.

No one should submit the motion that says, cumulative motion shall not be submitted to the Court because it doesn't tell me anything. Just try to be specific.

What else do we need to cover?

MR. COOPER: Well, I think we actually need a schedule for the motion in limine, not just for the issues.

THE COURT: Yes, we need a schedule.

MR. LYNCH: Maybe before that, your Honor, there are some serious trial readiness issues in both of the cases, particularly the Sherman case.

THE COURT: What issues are we talking about?

MR. COOFER: Trial readiness issues, your Honor.

THE COURT: Take that up with Judge Friedman or

the Major Master.

25

DAR

MR. DEUTSCH: She directed us to you.

THE COURT: No, she didn't.

Judge Friedman has told me--

MR. DEUTSCH: Not Judge Friedman. Lorraine Pacheco.

MR. COOPER: Special Master.

MR. DEUTSCH: Special Master.

THE COURT: I am not talking about the Special Master.

Judge Friedman has told me that I try the case and anything like motions for summary judgement, motions for discovery, if there are such, are to be made to her.

Now that is the way that I have conducted this kind of trial all along.

MR. LYNCH: Your Honor, the problem with one of the cases, Moses Sherman, we don't have the medical records.

Mr. Lee can speak to that.

But there is a record retrieval service that both of the parties use that have not gotten all the medical records or the social security records.

THE COURT: Well, the trial is several months off, I don't see that is a problem. If it is, take it up with Judge Friedman. I am not going to do discovery. And I told you that before.

DAR

15

1

17 18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

0 **2**77

1.6

MR. DEUTSCH: You don't have to do discovery.

All you have to do is order him to give us a new authorization.

THE COURT: Give him authorizations.

MR. COOPER: Well, that is not the issue.

The issue, according to what I have been reading, Record Track Retrieval.

MR. DEUTSCH: I understand that if you give me new authorization, I can take Record Track out of the loop and avoid the problem, Adam, that's all.

MR. COOPER: That is not the problem.

But I also believe that records we got and sent to Record Tracking, whether you will not accept the records that we got, is not our problem, that is your problem, but it shouldn't delay the problem.

MR. DEUTSCH: Why do I have to accept the records that you give me?

MR. COOPER: You don't.

MR. DEUTSCH: I am asking you to give me authorization.

MR. COOPER: I fulfilled my obligation which is sending an authorization back in September to a retrieval service.

MR. DEUTSCH: I am saying to you it has not happened.

DAR

3 4 5

1

2

6 7

8

9

10 . 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1.9

20

21

22

23

24

17

So I am asking you to give me new authorization.
Why is that a big deal?

MR. COOPER: It is not a big deal as long as it does not delay the trial.

MR. DEUTSCH: If you give it to me it will not delay the trial.

MR. COOPER: The next thing out of the Defendant's mouths is that they cannot do expert reports until they get every single medical record, social security record, and I cannot start to depose their experts until I get the reports.

THE COURT: I don't understand.

Don't you have a scheduling order?

Why don't you bring a motion for contempt or something?

Why do I get saddle with these problems?

MR. COOPER: I will tell you why you are getting saddled.

I will give you an example: We have an obligation, for example, to give the pathology to defense liaison counsel in March, which we do.

THE COURT: I don't see how I get the case if it is not ready for trial.

I am not criticizing you.

I'm not going to delay the trial.

DAR

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. COOPER: I would not expect you to.

18

THE COURT: As a matter of fact, Judge Friedman asked me to do this trial as quickly as possible. That is why I scheduled this meeting so quickly. I scheduled it within ten days, I think.

So I am not going to delay the trial. If you don't have what you think you need, there's a schedule that has not been complied with, and usually isn't, and why people don't go to Judge Friedman and ask her to enforce her own rules, I am not here to do that and I am not going to do that.

MR. LEE: We are instructed to go to the Special Master.

THE COURT: Then go to the Special Master. And if the Special Master orders something and doesn't happen, ask Judge Friedman to put it in terms of an order. If the order is not obeyed, there are remedies that you have before Judge Friedman, not before me.

MR. LEE: The reason why we are bringing it up before you now, is because when we went to the Special Master and raised these issues with the Special Master, she directed us to the trial judge.

THE COURT: She's wrong.

You have to go to Judge Friedman, I am telling you that now, and Judge Friedman understands that.

В

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 ·

19

Because when I -- from the moment I started taking these kinds of cases, these are the instructions that she gave to me.

I do motions for summary judgement.

I do all pre-trial motions.

I do all discovery motions.

That is why you had a schedule which no one seems to adhere to.

That is not my problem.

I am going to go to trial on the date that I schedule this case for trial.

If the meantime, if you have problems with discovery, go to Judge Friedman because the Special Master doesn't tell me what to do.

MR. LYNCH: The real problem here, your Honor, as Mr. Deutsch has suggested, if Mr. Cooper could give us the authorization so that we can knock out Record Track.

THE COURT: Get Judge Friedman to order him to do that.

MR. LYNCH: I think Adam has agreed to do that.

MR. COOPER: That doesn't have to happen, Judge.

What my problem is, as you just said, the first time they ask for it directly from me is when they are sitting in front of you trying to pick a briefing schedule and a trial date.

DAR

3 4 5

1

2

9

7

8

10

12

13 1:4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

3

5 6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now I am faced with the predicament that I don't have expert reports from them, that you and I both know are coming, and I am going to need time to depose the experts.

I understand you are not willing to order that type of discovery.

THE COURT: All you had to do, when they didn't give you their expert disclosure, at the point at which they were supposed to that, and it wasn't at the point after you go and get assigned to a trial Judge, then you have to do move to enforce your discovery.

MR. COOPER: I agree Judge.

It was only ten days ago that the expert deadline was June 7th.

I did not have -- even if I did that we would still be here before that was heard by Judge Friedman.

I completely agree with you.

Based on the deadlines, I could not say a word until June 9th. And here we are only a week plus later.

I am not blaming anybody.

I am sitting here with no expert reports on one case and only two on the other case and we all know there's more coming.

As long as I have time to get the reports, get their materials and reliance materials and depose the

21

1

3

4

5

б

.7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

individuals, that is fine.

Because I know that these deadlines in asbestos cases, for no particular blame, get passed and bypassed all the time. I don't like it any more than the Court.

THE COURT: You should try to go to federal court on these cases because the sanctions go down the pipe as soon as discovery orders are disobeyed.

MR. COOPER: As soon as federal court allows five out of six jurors to render a verdict, you will see everyone of my cases there.

MR. LYNCH: The problem is elementary.

We have been writing to the retrieval service for months asking them to get the records, they are just not getting them. So I think we are going to have to resort to self-help for the moment.

MR. COOPER: Sometimes that happens.

Sometimes not every record winds up in the doctor's possession and mine when reports get written.

You get what you can under the deadlines and eventually the reports have to be written.

That is all.

MR. LYNCH: I understand the reports cannot be written if the experts don't have the records on which to opine and base, review, and analyze the records.

MR. COOPER: How many records?

DAR

Sherman --MR. LEE:

2

MR. COOPER: How many providers?

And Record Track has, as of today -- well,

They're waiting for records from two more

3

MR. LEE: Nine.

4

We have requested nine.

5

б 7 actually -- they received four out of the nine.

8

medical providers and three they have not sent out

9

authorizations for, as according to Record Track this

morning. 10

11

MR. COOPER: Once again, Judge, I don't think

12

the Plaintiff should get penalized because of a retrieval service that everyone agreed to use is either incompetent

13 14

or can't actually complete the medical reports.

15

MR. DEUTSCH: That may be so.

16

17

But you are not suggesting that the Defendants should be penalized because of their incompetence, are

18

you.

19

MR. COOPER: No, I am suggesting that.

20

records to write a report and I don't believe your experts

There's a very good possibility there's enough

21

had even seen the first set of records to determine

22 23

whether they can write a report.

24

My understanding is that you are not sending

25

anything to the experts until every record is received and

DAR

23

sometimes that just is not possible.

MR. DEUTSCH: My experience tells me that is the correct way to practice law and so that is why I attempt to do it that way.

MR. COOPER: Within the framework of living cancer cases, I don't disagree.

But at one point --at some point a day has to be called a day. You have records that you have. And how long can you wait?

How long do we wait?

MR. DEUTSCH: If that comes to pass.

These cases are sill relatively new. The trials came up unanticipated.

MR. COOPER: That I disagree with.

I don't know why you say that, this is May 07 grouping. It is almost July.

We are not going to have a trial next week.

THE COURT: Motions in limine. Let's get back to them.

Two weeks?

MR. COOPER: That would be June 29th, similar to the joint trial schedule.

THE COURT: Why don't we do the same thing.

The same for the joint trial motions.

MR. COOPER: Motions by 6/29, opposition by

DAR

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1.

2

3

4

5

24

7/10.

1.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

THE COURT: Right.

MR. DEUTSCH: Reply 7/17.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. COOPER: Sure.

MR. DEUTSCH: It is the same thing.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. COOPER: Judge, could I bring up an issue.

If you consider discovery, fair enough, I will go back to Special Master. I'm not quite sure, I believe it's some type of hibird.

The defendant's initially at the beginning of every cluster send in an expert witness list, which I believe, the most recent group had 74 experts.

THE COURT: Sounds familiar.

MR. COOPER: I figure it would.

What I am asking for, aside from the medical record issue and report issue, a little more broadly, is to at least have identified the six or so that are actually may be called at trial so that we can begin on our side to get prepared to take the depositions.

And again, in my mind, that is separate from the reports.

I am asking the Court to order it. If I have to go to Judge Friedman, I don't think I should, it is more a

DAR

21

22

23

24

25.

trial issue in my mind, but I will.

I would hate for that type of delay just to find out which six of the 74 we're really talking about.

I don't think.

MR. LYNCH: I think we can agree on that that both sides pare down the expert witness list.

MR. COOPER: We only have three.

MR. DEUTSCH: I have notice in addition to the exchange of reports: Castleman, Hatfield, Longo, Markowitz, Moline, Panitz, Pohl and somebody named Charles Ay.

MR. COOPER: Charles Ay I can withdraw.

MR. DEUTSCH: Neither here nor there.

Those are the identifications that we have received from you without reports.

So I think the comment about the need to do it mutually is probably correct.

MR. COOPER: If you are asking me to pare down a total of eight experts.

MR. DEUTSCH: Are you going to pare down to less than eight?

MR. COOPER: I will do what you do.

MR. DEUTSCH: I have not thought about it.

MR. COOPER: Okay.

So I am willing to go down to eight and I can

DAR

1 2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

keep my experts as they are. If you don't still --

MR. DEUTSCH: You still owe me reports.

MR. COOPER: That is not true.

There's reports in every one of the experts that is going to testify, except Dr. Castleman, which I am sure I am going to get the same motion of limine and we will deal with that when we deal with that.

The Judge issued a ruling many times a report from the witnesses is not necessary.

Both pathologists in the case issued reports, both issued reports. Both pulmonary experts issued reports and that pretty much is it. So we're talking about really five experts.

So I don't have to pare down anything.

You have 74.

THE COURT: You have to pare down. You have a list of nine.

MR. COOPER: No, it is eight. I just took off I am down to six and that is two cases.

MR. LYNCH: Who is the six?

MR. COOPER: Ay is not being called and I am parring down and withdrawing Dr. Panitz.

THE COURT: That doesn't bring us down to -that is indifference to you, I think.

MR. DEUTSCH: I don't know. I don't know.

DAR .

4

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

9

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

### -Proceedings-

THE COURT: You have six, sounds like seven.

MR. COOPER: I thought there was six.

MR. DEUTSCH: Strauchen, Schecter, Markowitz, Pohl, Castleman is five.

MR. COOPER: I am sorry I made a mistake.

It is not Panitz I am withdrawing. I don't want to speak out of turn.

I have my list right here.

THE COURT: I will give you a couple of weeks.

MR. COOPER: I only have eight.

How much can one expect me to pare down eight? Defendants 74 each?

THE COURT: How many -- is there an agreement on eight? Do we agree to that so that I don't have to impose a decision?

MR. DEUTSCH: Eight is good.

MR. LYNCH: I think that is fine.

THE COURT: Eight experts on each side.

Written statements to each side and the Court; how much time?

MR. DEUTSCH: I don't have the records.

MR. LYNCH: We don't have the radiology, we don't have pathology.

MR. DEUTSCH: I can't get a pulmonary medicine expert to tell me what the X-rays show until I get the

DAR

3 4

1

2

5 6

8

7

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

28

1 X-rays.

THE COURT: Okay.

I will give you six weeks since you don't have the records.

Six weeks by today, June 19th, July 31st.

MR. DEUTSCH: Could we make it eight weeks?

It can take me three, four weeks to get the records and then to get it to the expert and get it reviewed and then get the report back.

THE COURT: Okay.

July 14th.

MR. DEUTSCH: August 14th.

THE COURT: Yes.

Expert in writing by August 14th.

MR. COOPER: No. No. Expert -- that's the

reports. That's the lift and reports.

MR. LYNCH: Disclosure --

MR. COOPER: Well, in lieu of reports, not disclosure and another report in another four weeks.

MR. LYNCH: Either or.

MR. COOPER: Judge, I don't want to face getting a 3101(d) on August 14th and then an actual report-- I don't want to guess, later than that.

The point is, when everything gets pared down, we are all going to be flying around the country deposing

DAR

5

б

7

8

2

3

9 10

12

13

14

15

11

16 17

18 19

20

22

23 24

۵۲.

29

the experts.

The August 14th deadline I want to make sure -THE COURT: We can eliminate all of that by
following New York rules.

MR. COOPER: We could.

I think without doing depositions we'll probably be in a little bit of trouble.

You did say I should go to federal court?

THE COURT: You know, you deposed most of the experts a number of times already and you cross-examined them a number of times.

MR. COOPER: I generally would agree.

But I can tell you right now, the two reports that I have gotten so far, because it is a friction lung cancer case, we never deposed them.

THE COURT: What are we saying, eight experts on each side?

MR. COOPER: It is a new group.

THE COURT: If we are submitting the expert reports in the 3101(d) on the 14th, we really don't need any kind of written statement about who the experts are, right?

MR. COOPER: Right, it will all come.

I just want to make sure, not just the list on the 14th, but the actual reports.

DAR

5

1

2

3

4

8

7

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

THE COURT: All right.

Expert reports and 3101(d).

Okay.

Motions in limine. If you are going to make a Frye motion, make them within the same time, within the motion in limine.

I didn't think I had one last time.

I had objections based on Frye, but I don't think a Frye hearing.

MR. COOPER: I think what happened, Judge, Ford and General Motors made a Frye motion as one of the motions, but because it was later than the deadline you refused the motion in limine.

MR. LYNCH: Would it make sense if we moved the motion in limine dates back before the reports?

At this juncture, we don't know exactly who of the eight experts Plaintiff is going to present and we don't have reports at this juncture or 3101(d).

It probably would make sense to push that back a couple of weeks after you get the reports.

MR. COOPER: Judge, based on what I am hearing, I think we maybe doing this the wrong way.

If you don't pick a trial date --

THE COURT: I am going to pick trial date.

MR. COOPER: I think in Jim's head he's already

DAR .

6 7

8

10

12

11

1.3 1.4

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

in late November, based on what I am hearing. think he's going to disagree. That is not reasonable.

THE COURT: I will give you an additional two weeks for the Frye motion.

Okay.

MR. COOPER: You mean the motion in limine? THE COURT: Just for the Frye motion. Motions in limine stay the same.

MR. LERNER: The Frye would have to be after the expert reports.

> I am giving you two weeks. THE COURT:

> MR. LERNER: After the expert reports.

THE COURT: I am giving you two weeks after July 17th.

MR. LERNER: If it precedes the expert report --THE COURT: It will not precede the expert report if you adhere to my order.

July 17th.

MR. DEUTSCH: You said August 14th expert reports are due by.

MR. LERNER: You are asking us to make Frye motions before we even know what the experts say.

THE COURT: You are right.

MR. DEUTSCH: We need the reports before we can move against them.

DAR

3 4

1

2

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### -Proceedings-

32

THE COURT: Frye motion to be made by 1 August 28th. 2

Okay.

What else?

Trial date, is that next?

I will tell you what Judge Friedman suggested to me. She would like, if possible, I have a trial in early September.

MR. DEUTSCH: I am committed elsewhere, your Honor.

THE COURT: What?

MR. DEUTSCH: I am committed elsewhere, your

Honor.

THE COURT: The whole month?

MR. DEUTSCH: I am committed elsewhere the first three weeks of the month.

THE COURT: Okay.

One, two, three-

MR. DEUTSCH: And then I have plane tickets --

I'm sorry.

THE COURT: I am sorry too --

MR. DEUTSCH: I am sorry.

When I got the plane tickets this didn't exist.

THE COURT: Cancel them and do it after the

trial.

DAR

MD	DEUTSCH:	T	can!t	cancel	them.
MR.	DESTRUCTS		TO CLEAR TO	~~~~~~	M#1041 -

I don't understand why --

MR. COOPER: Jay can do it.

MR. DEUTSCH: Do what? Go away?

MR. COOPER: Exactly.

MR. DEUTSCH: He's got a handle on that.

THE COURT: You got a big firm.

MR. DEUTSCH: What does that have to do with the ability of people who are capable of trying these cases?

THE COURT: I know if you were in federal court they would say, if you can't do it have someone else in your firm do it.

MR. DEUTSCH: If they were in federal court they would recognize that there's no great urgency and that the case can be scheduled ---

THE COURT: There's no great urgency in a lung cancer case. The guy is dieing and would probably be dead within a year and there's no great urgency.

MR. DEUTSCH: . That's correct.

THE COURT: That is why Judge Friedman would like me to do it in September.

When are you coming back?

MR. DEUTSCH: I am coming back the end of The 27th, 28th of October. October.

THE COURT: I am sorry.

DAR.

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

16

15

18

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

### -Proceedings-

I am sorry I would be willing to give you some time in October, but not November.

MR. DEUTSCH: I am not available.

THE COURT: Then somebody else will have to do That's all there's to do it. it.

We will have jury selection on October 8th. MR. DEUTSCH: Just add it to the motion for recusal.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. COOPER: As far as --

MR. DEUTSCH: .Judge, the case is how old? Three weeks matters?

Does three weeks matter?

THE COURT: No, not that three weeks matters.

It matters a whole month.

MR. DEUTSCH: That's the answer. I am the one who tries the case on behalf of my client.

THE COURT: I am not going to argue with you. You can say whatever you want for the record.

MR. DEUTSCH: I am the one who tries the cases on behalf of Ford and General Motors. For you to suggest that someone could try the case is ridiculous.

THE COURT: Don't yell at me.

Don't yell at me.

Also remember, if both of us are talking at the

DAR

1 2

> 4 5

3

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

### -Proceedings-

same time you take down what I say, not what he says, if both of us talk at the same time.

What else?

MR. COOPER: What I wanted to ask, Judge, if it is discovery you will move me over to Special Master and Judge Friedman.

The expert reports that I do have from Chrysler, obviously, I need reliance materials in order to take the deposition. Is that something the Court wants to handle as the summer progresses or should I go to Special Master and Judge Friedman?

I only ask because with Judge Friedman it would have to be formal motion practice and I would hate to keep losing weeks waiting for a motion to be heard. Obviously, I will get the depositions. It is obviously just getting the phone calls made and schedules. I will make myself available. I have a feeling I will have a lot of the depositions to take in July, August, and looks like September.

THE COURT: What precisely are you asking me to do?

I am asking your Honor to order Dr. MR. COOPER: Carter and Dr. Maxfield to submit to a deposition --THE COURT: Why do I have to order that?

MR. COOPER: --by the deadline.

DAR

1 2 3

6

7

4

5

8 9

10

11 12

13

1.5

14

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

# -Proceedings-

1.	Because I have tried to e-mail defense counsel
2	and they have ignored it.
3	THE COURT: I am not going to set a pressidence.

MR. COOPER: I understand that.

THE COURT: I want to be consistent in my

rulings.

MR. COOPER: I will seek my remedy elsewhere.

THE COURT: Okay.

Gentleman, anything else?

MR. LYNCH: No.

MR. DEUTSCH: No.

MR. COOPER: No.

THE CLERK: Off the record.

(Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was

held.)

(Whereupon, the proceedings were adjourned.)

. 17

5

б

7

. 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

18 It is hereby certified that the foregoing is . a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings. 19

20

21

Official Court Reporter

22

23

24

25

DAR